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Given a sample of $n$ from $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$, it is desired to determine from the sample a quantity $a$ (or $b$ ) such that with probability $\gamma$, the interval ( $-\infty, a$ ) (or the interval $(b, \infty)$ ) will include at least the fraction $1-\alpha$ of the population. The tables give values of $K$ to 3 D for $n=3(1) 25(5) 50, \gamma=.75, .9, .95, .99$, and $\alpha=$ $.25, .1, .05, .01, .001$, such that $a=\bar{X}-K s$ and $b=\bar{X}-K s$, where $X$ is the sample mean and $S^{2}$ is the usual unbiased estimate of $\sigma^{2}$. For more extensive tables and a more complete discussion see [1].
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Given a sample of $n$ from $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$, with $\bar{x}$ the sample mean and $S^{2}$ the usual unbiased estimate of $\sigma^{2}$, these tables give values of $k$ for which

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[\operatorname{Pr}(x \leqq \bar{x}+k s) \geqq P]=\gamma
$$

As stated, Table I is a reproduction of one given by Johnson \& Welch [1] in which values of $k$ are given to 3 D for $\gamma=.95, n=5(1) 10,17,37,145, \infty$ and $P=0.7(.05) .85, .875, .9, .935, .95, .96, .975, .99, .995, .996, .9975, .999, .9995$. It is also explained that Table II was obtained from Resnikoff \& Lieberman's table of percentage points of the noncentral $t$-distribution [2] appropriately modified to give $k$ values to 3 D for $n=3(1) 25(5) 50, \infty$ and $P=.75, .85, .9, .935$, $.96, .975, .99, .996, .9975, .999$ for $\gamma=.75, .9, .95$. For $\gamma=.99, .995$, $n=6(1) 25(5) 50, \infty$, while $P$ has the same range as before. The more extensive Table III gives values to 5 D obtained by an approximative method due to Wallis [3] for $n=2(1) 200(5) 400(25) 1000, \infty, P=.7, .8, .9, .95, .99, .999$, and $\gamma=$ $.7, .8, .9, .95, .99, .999$. For small $n$ and the larger values of $P$ and $\gamma$, the approximation breaks down and the entry is left blank or given with a warning sign that comparison should be made with neighboring values. (However it looks to the reviewer as if this sign has been omitted from the entries for $n=2, P=.99, .999$, and $\gamma=$.999.) Finally Table IV is obtained from Bowker's table of two-sided tolerance limits [3] by an approximate procedure suggested by McClung [4] to give conservative values of $k$ for one-sided limits. Here values are given to 3D for $n=2(1) 102(2) 180(5) 300(10) 400(25) 750(50) 1000, \infty, P=.875, .95, .975, .995$, .9995 , and $\gamma=.75, .9, .99$.

In an appendix auxiliary tables compare values in the four tables for selected values of the four parameters. The maximum difference shown between Tables I and II is .01 . It is concluded that values in Table III will probably be underesti-
mates for $\gamma \leqq .95$ and overestimates for $\gamma \geqq .99$, while in Table IV, $k$ is probably underestimated for $P=.875$ and overestimated for the other $P$ values. Differences shown between Table II and Table III values in a few cases exceed $20 \%$ of the presumably more accurate Table II values and differences shown between Table II and Table IV sometimes exceed $10 \%$ of the Table II values.
C. C. Craig

University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, Michigan

1. N. L. Johnson \& B. L. Welch, "Applications of the non-central $t$-distribution," Biometrika, v. 31, 1939, p. 362-389.
2. G. J. Resnikoff \& G. J. Lieberman, Tables of the Noncentral t-Distribution, Stanford University Press, Stanford, Calif., 1957.
3. C. Eisenhart, M. W. Hastay \& W. A. Wallis, Techniques of Statistical Analysis, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1947.
4. R. M. McClung, "First aid for pet projects injured in the lab or on the range or what to do until the statistician comes," U. S. Naval Ordnance Test Station Technical Memorandum No. 1113, October 1955.
$\mathbf{8 3}[\mathrm{K}]$.-K. V. Ramachandran, "On the Studentized smallest chi-square," Amer. Stat. Assn., Jn., v. 53, 1958, p. 868-872.
Consider the $F$ statistics, $\frac{S_{i}}{S} \cdot \frac{m}{t}, i=1,2, \cdots, k$, in which $S_{1}, S_{2}, \cdots, S_{k}$ and $S$ are mutually independent, with each $S_{i / \sigma} 2$ having a $\chi^{2}$ distribution under the null hypothesis with $t$ degrees of freedom and $S / \sigma^{2}$ a $\chi^{2}$ distribution with $m$ d.f. There are numerous applications of statistical methods, a few of which are discussed, in which one needs the value of $V$ for which $\operatorname{Pr}\left|\frac{S_{\min }}{S} \frac{m}{t} \geqq V\right|=1-\alpha$. The author tabulates lower $5 \%$ points of $\frac{S_{\text {min }}}{S} \cdot \frac{m}{t}$ for values of $t, m$ and $k$ as follows: For $t=1, m \geqq 5, k=1(1) 8$ to 1 S ; for $t=2,5<m<10$ and $m \geqq 12, k=1(1) 8$ to 3 D ; for $t=3,4,6, m=5,6(2) 12,20,24, \infty, k=1(1) 8$ to 3 D ; for $t=1(1) 4(2) 12,16,20, m=\infty, k=1(1) 8$ to 3 D ; for $t=1(1) 4(2) 12,16,20$, $m=5,6(2) 12,20,24, \infty, k=1,2,3$ to 3 D .
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This paper, a continuation of a previous one [1], is mainly devoted to an extension of tables given in the earlier paper to cover samples $11 \leqq n \leqq 15$ and to a discussion of efficiencies of the estimators used. Samples of $n$ are from $N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)$; $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ observations are censored in the left and right tails respectively ( $r_{1} r_{2} \geqq 0$ ); and $\bar{x}$ and $\sigma$ are estimated by the most efficient linear forms in the ordered uncensored observations. Table I gives the coefficients for these best linear systematic statistics to 4 D for all combinations of $r_{1}, r_{2}$ for $n=11(1) 15$. Table II gives variances and the covariance of these estimates to 4 D for $n=11(1) 15$ and all pairs of $r_{1}, r_{2}$ values. In Table III efficiencies of the two estimates relative to that for uncensored samples are given to 4D for the same range of values of $n$ and $r_{1}, r_{2}$. For

